1

# Gita A Book Of, By, For Criminals

Kuldip Kumar

# Dedicated to

All those
Damsels,
Girls &
Women
Who were Rendered
Widow
or
Orphan
in the Battle that
Ensued

the Incitement of Gita.

After the Battle caused by Gita,
when most of the males
lost their lives therein,
Arjun was escorting these
Widowed
and
Orphaned
Females
when Dacoits and Goons
Plundered
those females of
Gope and Kshtriya Clans.

All of those
Females
were
Subjected to
Constant Rape

# and Then to Prostitution Until their Miserable Death.

With deep sorrows in heart, I dedicate this book in the memory of those

Pitiable

Human Creatures.

With a hope: Perhaps may it prick conscience of some good hearted fellow and

Perhaps he may stand up and join me to say Stop this

NON-SENSE : Gita.

#### **Few Words**

Inscribed below the Four Lions National Emblem of India are the words: सत्यमेव जयते which mean Truth Always Triumphs and Never the Untruth. When I was school going child, I would yell these words with pride. Like any other student I used to claim that in "our India", even our Government has also declared that only and always the Truth triumphs. The pupils were taught lessons that one M.K. Gandhi who was Mahatma, drove out the English invaders thru the force of Truth alone. We were always amazed to know that Truth also works!

Then with the passage of time, we turned to High School and then to College. From childhood we crossed adolescence to enter youth. The school books appeared irrelevant in the search of 'truth'. We found the followers of Gandhi, popularly called Congressians were devouring India and Indians by all means. The Satyamev Jayate inscribed below the Ashoka's Lions capitol appeared to be strongest slur/ slap on the face of the Truth. The joke-tricks applied by Gandhi to get freedom for India, proved futile even to get rid of nonsense tenant what to speak of foreign invaders!

I was just a little boy, when in the sixties; there was a movement of RPI in the Punjab. Herds of people would come chanting Jai Bheem. I would overhear my father talking of 'apna raaj' i.e. our regime. Like any other son, I believed my father was not a liar. Our group of little boys would discuss the issue. As per our understanding, we would say that now our mothers and sisters would not have to toil in the fields and factories of big people. These would become 'ours'.

Then suddenly everything was over. We saw our father's sad face. What happened; we could not understand then. But we found no change in the working of our mothers and sisters. At 4 am or so the hooter of factory would shriek and women from our Basati would run towards the factory.

For almost two decades, my father did not talk of politics, RPI or 'Apna Raaj'. When in 80s, we started attending DS-4 meetings, our father spoke, "These politicians are liars. They have sold our Baba Sahib, they would spare none."

I still believe my father was NEVER a liar. He never said 'Satyamev Jayate' but he spoke truth and truth to the best of his ability. Now I am 52 year old. Today, I know what does the inscription below the Lions emblem really mean.

## It means: Truth is that which is spoken by the mighty. The words of the mighty are 'the truth' and only that truth triumphs! And triumphs for ever!

There are innumerable examples available in the piles of lies in Brahmanism. I quote just two: One is Satyarth Parkash i.e. 'Light of Truth' written by Dayanand the founder of Arya Samaj. It enjoins upon all Arya Samajians to, inter alia, produce ten sons out of their women. Where it is not possible to produce ten sons, due to death of legitimate husband, impotency or any other reason, the women must be offered to higher caste people to get produced 10 sons. This system of getting one's woman pregnant by other high caste male is called "Niyog".

He explicitly says that IT IS SIN IF AN ARYASAMAJIAN DOES NOT FOLLOW NIYOG. (Chap 4 Light of Truth)

We have not found a single Aryasamajian who had offered or willing to offer his wife, daughter, sister etc under this system, to other males. So as per their founder-father Dayanand, all Aryasamajians are "sinners". These sinners spend and earn billions of rupees in the name of Dayanand and Arya Samaj by yelling 'follow teachings of our Guru'. But no Aryasamaji follows himself. What a lie!

Second one, but the biggest lie is the Gita. It contains the biggest lie: existence of Atma which changes thru one body to another like man changes his clothes. Another lie is the promise of 'god' that he would incarnate whenever the religion or the saints are jeopardized. It also contains the lie that all SC, ST and BC people are sinners of their previous birth.

The Brahmanism is standing on the walls of lie and deceit. The day we are able to shatter its lie, the citadel of Brahmanism will come crumbling down. As Baba Sahib Ambedkar has said that the real method of breaking up the Caste System (i.e. Brahmanism) is to destroy the religious notions on which Caste was founded. Only the SC BC can destroy the systems of caste and varna (Dr. Baba Sahib Ambedkar Vol. 7.18)

2500 years ago, the Buddha demolished the citadel of Vedic Brahmanism. Brahmans buried deep down the Vedas. The Gods reigning supreme in Vedas and all rituals prescribed therein were also buried. In the Gita, the Brahmans declared the worthlessness of the Vedas. It says the Vedas have as much value as a well has during the inundation of the Earth.

Except this verse, Gita is bundle of lies and deceitful propositions. Its hero is such a person who tells us ways and means to tell a lie, may it be peace or war.

The biggest deception has also been propounded and planted in the Gita. The Brahmanic lord says that everyone should deem himself to be duty bound to do his work and never should even desire for its wages.

This is the most dangerous theory in this world. Due to this only one theory, 100 crores of Indians are put to misery. They toil day and night but never demand their labour lest the God/god should not be angry.

This is the only theory that has crushed spirit of revolution in the Indians and particularly those people who have been declared impure; impure to such an extent that mere sight of them defiles the high caste!

Due to this theory of Gita, Ambanis and Parekhs are sucking blood of our children. In their offices, the youth are put to work for 15 hours without break for a meager salary of Rs. 3000- or 3500-. They do their work without demanding 'fruit' of their work.

Their hands rise not to grab necks of the exploiters but to touch feet of the God's idol with a prayer that he should incarnate himself to save them. But they don't know that Brahamanic god incarnates only to protect a gambler who lost his wife in the stake of gambling.

Here we are reminded of the words of Acharya Chatursen. He wrote that when Ghajnavi with hundred odd soldiers, plundered the wealth of Somnath Temple thousands of Hindus gathered around the temple. Everyone was looking towards the sky that just now god would descend. Ghajanavi demolished the temple, loaded booty on his camels and horses and dragged away the idol of their god. Acharya writes that if the Hindus had simply fallen on the looters, they would have been crushed to paste.

The Gita made us cowards! Cowards, just looking towards the sky that god should come and save us! We the Indians, shapers of the Best culture and civilization of the world i.e. Indus Kingdom, have been reduced to mere slaves. Due to Gita like scriptures, we Indians have to remain slaves for 2000 years.

Today, Hindu organizations raise slogan: Be Proud to say We are Hindu! What a shame in feeling proud to remain slaves for 2000 years. The Hindus remained even slaves of the real slaves like Altmash who was purchased for a few bucks in the auction of slaves. That slave and his successors ruled over Hindus for decades!! And the Brahmanic Hindu leaders say Be Proud to be Hindu!!

What a Shameless people Brahmans are!!

In the present book, we have attempted to disperse the lie and deceitful theories of the Gita. We think time has arrived that the commandments of the Greatest Son of Mother India be followed that if we have to prosper, the so-called religious notions had to be destroyed. Baba Sahib Ambedkar commanded that a Hindu India has no future. Unless and until we do away with those nefarious 'religious' books which raise walls of casteism among human beings, we can not survive.

If anyone feels that the contents of this book have hurt his or her religious sentiments, we would simply say that for last 2100 years the Brahmans have not only hurt our dignity, our body, our mind and our speech but has also crushed these all under the boots of these nefarious religious books. If today we are speaking against these inhuman rules, the exploiters be known the Time has completed its circle.

They should read what is written on the wall:

- The 21<sup>st</sup> Century Belongs To the Dalits.
- Now They Shall Regain Their Lost Regime Once For All.
- Henceforth, They Shall Not Tolerate The Insult Inflicted On Them By The Nefarious Religious Books of Brahmans.
- The Sooner The Better The Brahmans And All Other Non-Brahmans Understand It.



jaikuldip@rediffmail.com

#### Chapter 1

#### **Propriety of Gita**

Now-a-days, Gita is projected as the most important scripture of the Brahmanism, Today the Hindus have forgotten the Vedas and Upnishads. Rather they have discarded them into the dustbin. 99.99% of the Hindus do not know name of the four Vedas. Only a few priests and a few students could count names of four Vedas. Hardly there is a Hindu who has given reading or capable to read the Vedas.

Except a book-seller, no Hindu has seen titles of 108 Upnishads. And so far as 18 Purans are concerned, even the Brahmans are not capable of reading them. Thus the only scripture that remains is the Gita. And Gita is the book that has kept the Brahmanism alive. Had there not been the Gita, the Brahmanism would have met its end by now.

Before discussing what there is in the Gita, let us first check propriety of its birth: why Gita was created or what necessitated its production. What were the reasons that Krishan had to speak 700 verses in one go to Arjun.

#### Kurukshetra versus Dharamkshetra:

The lie is planted right from the very first line of the Gita. In the very first verse the composer of Gita, declares thru Dharitrashtra, the blind father of the Kauravs that the Kuru-kshetra was Dharamkshetra (religious place)!

Whereas in Sanskrit kshetra means field or land where farming is done. Kurukshetra means the field that was ploughed by Kuru. He founded Kaurav dynasty. Today we see hundreds of farmhouses around big cities. The Kurukshetra connotes the same meaning.

So far as calling it a Dharamkshetra is concerned there is not a single instance that a good deed was ever done on this land. It is worth notable that Dharita's question to Sanjay is in past tense. He uses the term "किमकुर्वत" which means what has happened. So by the time he put this question to Sanjay millions of human beings and animals had 'had been murdered' on that land for the sake of money involved in gambling. Precisely speaking as many as 55,11,000 human beings, 23,62,000 horses and 3,94,000 elephants had been butchered on that piece of land! How shameful such a farmland has been called 'the religious place'!!

I put a simple question to the readers: can a fight between two gangs of gamblers be called a Dharamyudh i.e. religious war! Kauravs and Pandavs were so mean, so low creatures that they did not hesitate to put their women on stake of gambling.

No person having an iota of intellect or morality could call such a slaughterhouse to be 'a religious place' where millions of lives were lost for the sake of booty involved in gambling. Shame on those who call it a religious war!

It is different point that as in 1951 census, the total population of Haryana, of whom Kurukshetra is a tiny town, was mere 56 lakh i.e. 5.6 millions. Going by trends of census, the total population of India would not be as much as reportedly killed in kuru's field.

More so, in 18 days battle with swords & arrows and wrestling could as many as 8.2 million living creatures be killed? Thus Gita is indicative not of facts but war-maniac mind of its writer.

So far as Gita's propriety or necessity in the epic of Mahabharat is concerned, you keep it or remove it from the epic, its story remains unaffected in either way. Arjun came to fight and kill his kith & kin and he did. Gita or no-Gita had any impact on the story. Gita has been intruded uselessly in the epic like the mega tele-serials where producer often inserts unnecessary episodes just to earn more money.

From the Gita, it is evident that Arjun and all others had declared the war 'open' by blowing their respective horns. (1.15) Surprisingly, after that the writer of Gita aroused sense of Karuna i.e. piety and compassion in the heart of Arjun. The duo

(Krishan and Arjun) had murdered thousands of innocent human beings of Naga clan. The milk sucking kids, their mothers, aged and feeble all human beings were burnt alive in Khandav forest by this duo. None of them had any enmity with the duo but they murdered them mercilessly.

Such a ruthless murderer talked of compassion, it is simply unimaginable. It appears the very basic idea of composing the Gita and placing it in Mahabharat was to disapprove Principle of Compassion (Karuna and Maitri) as propounded by the Buddha. Thru the Gita and the Mahabharat this message is conveyed that except Brahmans whosoever differs from you be killed mercilessly.

This could be said for the reason that while placing every thing including his younger brother's wife, on stake of gambling he specifically left out Brahmans and properties of Brahmans.

The other thing that he did not put on stake was his mother Kunti. Perhaps, either she escaped his attention or she was too old to fetch a penny.

Hence, the Gita has no relevance to the epic of Mahabharat. It has been composed just to disapprove humanitarian teachings of Prajna, Karuna and Sheel i.e. wisdom, compassion and morality as propounded in the Dhammpada of Buddha Dhamma. Gita's only aim is to spread this misbelief that violence, hatred, enmity, adultery and blind faith are traits of God! A God who takes birth whenever such a religion is put to jeopardy.

Today, millions of Hindus might nod before Gita but there is NOT A SINGLE HINDU who would follow incitement of Gita to murder his own family members for regaining money from them. However, a mean gambler like Yudhistar needs none's advice to kill or murder his own or others to grab their monies.

There arises another question on the propriety of the Gita. The opening verses of Gita say that everybody blew his conch i.e. declared the war open. The sky was rattled with the sounds of horns blown to declare the war open. Then Krishan started giving lecture of 700 verses. Not a single person from either side fired an arrow until conversation between the duo came to an end.

Is it practically possible? Agreed, the Krishan side kept mum but what stopped the opposite side to fire! Why Duryodhan, Bheesham etc did not fire until Gita was over? There is no answer to it. It means the conversation of Gita has not held during battle of Kurukshetra.

Just imagine similar situation emerges between two gangs over distribution of booty. Leaders of both sides after failed arguments, declare fight to finish as the last solution. Suddenly ringleaders of one side engage in some debate. Will the other side stand silently until their conversation is over? Would they not take advantage of such a situation?

The people (rather I would say 'criminals') who staged battle of Mahabharat were the most wretched and cunning. None was lesser mean than the others. Then how could Kauravs keep standing silently! If they could secretly attempt to murder Pandavs or openly disrobe their sister-in-law, how could we expect they would wait until Krishan and Arjun decide on some issue!

#### Have ever heard such things happening in the battlefield?

Let us take example of Ramayana. Hanuman found Sita in Emperor Ravana's orchard. Raam's plea to return Sita failed. He started preparations for attacking Lanka.

Emperor Ravana called meeting of his cabinet to discuss threat from Raam. During the time cabinet meeting was going on, did Raam postpone his preparation for attack on Lanka? No, not at all. So it is indigestible that if Gita was actually recited by Krishan in the battlefield, the Kauravs stood silent.

A no-mention of Kauravs' attack during this time shows no Gita happened in Mahabharat's battle. It has been added to the epic later on with an ulterior motive of defeating teachings of the Buddha.

Now I come to the most important question regarding the relevance or propriety of the Gita in common man's life.

Two types of situation may arrive in our life. Suppose you are a common friend to a big family. It has to distribute ancestral property. They fail to settle the issue amicably. The family divides in two groups. There is heated exchange of words and ultimately they draw out swords.

Suddenly leader of one of the groups ponders over the issue and decides that it is preferable to forego his share in the property than to shed blood of his nears and dears.

You happen to be there. What advice would you tender to such a 'family loving' person. Would you abet him to kill his family members to get whole of the property? Can you advise him that if he died in the fight, he would go to paradise and if he won, he would enjoy whole of the property?

I am sure NO Noble-heart could tender such an advice. Only a criminal or underworld don would or could tender such 'advice' to a kindhearted person that he, instead of acting as per voice of his conscience, should kill all the family members and enjoy the property alone!

The second situation arises that you are leader of one of the group. All family members, relatives, friends, elders stood by the other side. All resist your move to demand share in the property.

On the resistance of all relatives and friends your conscience pricks you and you decide not to use force to grab property upon which you have no right. At that time if someone abets you of killing your family members. Would such an abettor be not of a criminal mind? Should such a criminal and his advice to commit crime is not fit to be not thrown into deep well?

In my considered opinion, a moral and pious duty was cast upon Krishan to act humanitarianly. He should have behaved like a prudent man, if not like the Buddha. He should have dissuaded all away from the battlefield. Had he acted religiously the situation of battle would have never arisen.

As soon as Arjun put down his bow and arrows, he should have patted his back. He should have immediately conveyed to all the decision of Arjun. He should have spoken the Buddha's words that mud cannot be cleansed with mud; hatred cannot be removed with hatred. War is no solution to any problem.

Contrary to this, Krishan abetted Arjun to kill his kith & kin, his own elders and youngsters. And during the battle, the unethical ways and means that Krishan adopted to kill the warriors of other side, is indicative of his mens rea (criminal intent).

None ever had, has or will have need for such an abettor. The teachings of Gita are not only against the human values but also danger to the very existence of human beings.

If anybody could have any practical use of Gita, it could only be gangs of criminals who settle their score with others thru violence only.

The Gita has no relevance to the civilized society.

#### Secrets of Gita

At present Gita is the most important book of Brahmanism. Its complete skeleton is made of Gita's material. If today Gita is destroyed, the Brahmanism will not see tomorrow's sun.

Naturally, question arises what is there in the Gita that it is keeping alive a religion of 500 million people. Bhadant Anand Kaushlyayan provided its answer in his book: Gita ki Budhivadi Samiksha. He says Gita is like "Bhanumati ka Pitara" a box that contains everything. Just wish and take out whatsoever you want.

From Gita, a saint and a criminal, both can draw things of their choice. For example the theory of Karma i.e. action says that one should do his work and care not for its result. A saint does his duty and does not care for its reward. A criminal commits crime and does not care for its punishment. This is Gita.

It contains so many such things which could have never been asked in the battlefield, particularly when bugle of war had been blown. Arun, without any reference, asked a question: who is Sathitaprajn, how he walks; how he sits; how he speaks. This question has no relevance with the situation under which Krishan was advising him.

So almost all of verses of Gita have been intruded in it in this fashion. Sometimes it indicates that it is joint venture project. Many people contributed his personal ideas in it. So in one verse Vedas are described as books of Supreme knowledge and in another they are doomed as worthless.

In fact, Gita is mirror of brahmanism which is nothing but citadel of corrupt politics, trade and conspiracy to make money and money only. Once money comes, all other things follow. All brahmans know this fact very well. Gita helps them to make money. So it is their lifeline.

As the owner of a supermarket, keeps on its shelves, cosmetics to grow hair and also to remove hair so are the verses of Gita. A VC of a University and a thumbbrand illiterate both get inspiration to remain as such from the Gita.

If MK Gandhi gets cowardice of non-violence from it, his killer Godse also gets inspiration to do his duty of killing him from the Gita.

Such is the 'greatness' of Supermarket of Gita.

In one of verses, (2.24) Krishan says atman i.e. soul is Achal and Sarvgat. Achal means motionless, immovable, fixed, inertia; Sarvgat means a thing that goes to everywhere or that pervades everything. Now it is upto the reader as to which quality of soul he likes; he may deem soul as such, achal or sarvgat.

Because of such peculiar qualities of Gita, Acharya Chatursen has rightly said that whosoever composed Gita, has provided bread and butter to the Brahmans for whole of their life.

The Brahmans have inserted three types of tricks into the Gita to entice 'disciples' into the eternal trap of Brahmanism. These are:

- 1. Theory of Incarnation of God: That whenever the Religion or Saints are put to jeopardy, God/god takes birth on the Earth and kills the Anti-religion and Anti-Saint persons.
- 2. Theory of Atman i.e. Soul: That every living creature has a soul that never dies with the body but changes its abode from dead to newborn body.
- 3. Theory of Karma i.e. Action: That one should do his work but should not deem oneself responsible for the action. Nor should one desire for fruits of one's own actions.

Much hype has been created about these theories. How this hype has been created, one short story from the Panchtantra reveals the mystery. It goes like this.

Once upon a time, a Brahman priest procured, in alms, a lamb for sacrifice. As the lamb was too young to walk a big distance, the Brahman carried it on his shoulders. Three thugs i.e. cheats saw the lamb and planned to enjoy it in the dinner. They hatched a conspiracy. The trio scattered and positioned themselves at different places.

First, one approached the Brahman and saluted him. Then he fired a question, "Sir, why are you carrying this dog on your shoulders?" Brahman replied, "It is lamb and I am carrying it for evening ceremony. The cheat gave big taunting smile, shook his head in disbelief and went away.

Brahman touched it and verified; it was a lamb. After few moments, second one appeared on the scene. He repeated the same drama. Going one step ahead, he told the Brahman that as per scriptures it is sin to carry a dog. Brahman was bit confused. He brought down the lamb, checked it. But this time, he did not put it on his shoulders. He simply started dragging it.

After few moments, third cheat appeared on the scene. After exchanging usual salutes, he asked the Brahman, "Pundit ji, is this dog sick? Why are you dragging this sick dog? Leave it, I may provide you healthy dog but I fail to understand why a Brahman needs a sinful creature."

The Brahman loosened the grip on the lamb. The cheat kicked it away. He requested the Brahman to purify himself by taking bath in the running stream. Brahman

entered into the stream and the cheat vanished with the lamb. That evening the cheats enjoyed the delicacy of meat of the lamb.

Now just imagine the situation. Just three cheats robbed a Brahman! Here these Brahmanic thugs are 30 millions! Right from Kashmir to Kanyakumari, Gujarat to Assam the cheats have pervaded every nook and corner of India. They own almost every newspaper and TV channel.

Right from day-break to dead night, they are yelling from every corner: Temples, TV channels, Radio and News papers: there is immortal Atman; God/god incarnates to save mankind; because you did misdeeds in your previous birth so you are suffering in this birth; Offer money to Brahmans you will get guaranteed Moksh i.e. salvation.

The Brahmanic people control Legislature, Executive and Judiciary. Judges acquit high-caste rapists on the plea that a high caste male can never touch a low caste woman. Hence, he could not rape her!

All Brahmanic scriptures are in violation of the Constitution of India and the UN Charter of Human Rights but the judges sitting in the Supreme Court too, do not hesitate to give ruling that these nefarious books can not be challenged in the Courts! What for these Courts are established, if these cannot or do not do Justice?

Their network is so strong and well-knit that few years back they enacted a drama of milk being drunk by all idols on the same day. Throughout India the Brahmanic idols 'drank' milk on the same day and time. The drama was so clandestinely prepared like Pokhran Atomic explosion, no outsider could get clack of it.

So are the propaganda makers of Gita. Agreed, Sankar was a Brahman priest, he sang songs in praise of Gita; again agreed, Gandhi was a low level literate, he would echo back hearsay stories about Gita. But what the hell compulsion was with Radhkrishanan, the President of India, ex Vice-Chancellor of a University that he dared not speak truth about causes and effects of Gita.

How could his conscience allow him to write that war-mongering and murder-abetting verses of Gita are verses of pure philosophy! How could he unhear the sobs of his ancestress been raped by goons after the battle of Kurukshetra? It means like his forefather Tulsi, he too had the mind that 'women can be tamed thru force only'.

On the part of Radhakrishanan it is just like calling a lamb a dog! How shameful for us that such a person's birthday is being termed 'Teachers Day'!!

Baba Sahib Ambedkar could dare call it a notorious/nefarious book! (LR Bali's Hinduism Dharam Ya Kalank) He has rightly said that till date Brahmanism had not produced a single Voltaire who despite been a priest dare speak against the lies of the religious system.

The Brahmanic caste or class comprising of Shankars, Gandhis, Radhakrishnans, Dayanands, Vivekanands has always been engaged in the system of making fool of others and gain everything out of their foolishness. Today Gita has been fully serving their designs to make fool of others.

Lets now discuss the three theories individually.

#### **Chapter 2**

#### **Theory of Incarnation**

The theory of incarnation of God/god is one of the most successful bait to lure/entrap the 'religious' mind people. And this is the theory most abundantly discussed.

The Verse 7 & 8 of Chap. 4 talks of promise or declaration of God/god that he incarnates in every Yug whenever the religion or saints are put to jeopardy. The verses, in verbatim, are as under:

```
यदा यदा हि धर्मस्य ग्लानि भर्वतिभारत ! अभ्युत्थानमधर्मस्यतदात्मानं सृजाम्यहम् !! (७) परित्राणाय साधूनां विनाषाय दुष्कृताम् ! धर्मसंस्थापनार्थय संभाविम युगे युगे !! (८)
```

The meaning of these verses is as follows.

The God/god declares, "O! Arjun, whenever and wherever there is loss to religion and the irreligion enhances, then I produce myself. Then I save the saints and finish the evil-doers. In order to establish religion, I make myself possible in every era.

The translation has been done as the ISCON chief ACP has done in his book namely Gita Yatharoop.

As per these verses, there are three important functions that are related to the event of incarnation.

- 1. That God/god creates/produces himself.
- 2. That he stops decay of religion and increase of irreligion.

3. That he saves the saints and punishes the wicked.

It is pleasant to hear such a theory. In this world, all the theists nurture a belief in their hearts that God is as such. He believes a God is there to protect the good people and punish the bad ones. Even every atheist too demands that if there be a God, he must perform these functions.

Now a few questions arise viz. is there any possibility of incarnation of God; more importantly had there been actually any incarnation as claimed in Brahmanic scriptures; had those incarnated Gods of Brahmanism performed such acts like the killing the cheats and saving the saints.

The veracity of Gita and its theories must be tested on these norms or criteria.

**Meaning of Incarnation**: In Brahmanism the term used for incarnation is "Avtār" which literally means 'to come down' from sky. Usually all theists believe that God lives in the sky or some place above the sky.

The theory of Avtār states that the Brahmanic God/god comes or takes birth on the Earth as and when there is decay of religion. The term "Brahmanic God/god" has been used for two reasons:

- 1. There exist hundreds of religions and faiths on the Earth. Except Brahmanism no other religion has claimed that the one Almighty God comes down on the Earth to save their religion. It is only Brahmanism that claims their Gods have already come down for as many as 30 times. Their God has also already made advance booking for Kaliyug. His name will be Kalik. He is merely waiting for 'irreligion' to crop up. Surprisingly, the Kalik has already put to death many people including breast-feeding mother and her son.
- 2. Almost all the Brahmanic Gods/gods have taken Avtārs simultaneously. God is believed to be 'one piece item'. It has no replicas, no carbon copies, no Xerox copies etc. But here in Brahmanic religion there is no One God. There are three Gods and 330 millions gods. **Everybody is capable of taking Avtār or incarnation.** Many have taken Avtār and many more are taking daily.

In all major religions, their founders claimed to be messengers of God. Christ claimed himself to be prophet of God, Mohd claimed to be last messenger of God. But in Brhamanic religion their heroes are claiming to be God themselves. The trio of Brahma, Vishanu and Mahesh are always fighting for supremacy.

It is somewhat weird that God incarnates in the form of some living creatures or non-living items. All over the world, the propounders of 'God' have always asserted that God is omnipotent. NOBODY living or dead can be away or above the reign of God. If God is not 'all-powerful' how could he be God, then he would be like anybody.

So it is something unimaginable that God has to incarnate himself as swine, fish or half-beast to accomplish some task. If a Hanuman could swallow whole of the Sun, Krishan could lift a 20 km radius rock on his little finger, why did their God incarnate as shit eater animal to bring back Vedas from a demon?

So for सृजाम्यहम i.e. I create myself is concerned, it is again a weird idea that God creates himself. A reading given to both the verses together reveals that God makes his

creation possible in every era. Question arises when God is already existing, how could he 'create' himself.

If creating is taken as transforming i.e. God transform himself in pig, monkey, Raam Krishan etc. question arises who controls the Universe during this time because during this time God remains busy in some other important jobs. For example, as a swine God remains busy in digging the earth, as a Raam he remains busy in crying for Sita. And as Krishan he is over-busy. He has more than 300 million women to undress, make love with them and then to incite Arjun to kill his kith & kin!

When God is busy performing such great tasks, who remains there to perform his usual jobs of controlling the universe.

In Brahmanism, instead of one supreme God there are three Gods each superior to the other two. Brhma creates the universe but he himself is created from the belly of Vishanu who always worships penis of Shiv who is entrusted with the Ministry of the Dead, Ghosts etc.

So who performs this task of सृजाम्यहम i.e. I create myself, remains unanswerable in the Brahmanism. More so, all the theist religions agree and assert that God is formless. In Islam and Sikh Dharam, it is almost the highest sin to make picture of God.

But in Brahmanic system none of the trio is formless. Each one among the trio has his own distinct form. Like Uncle Sam or Santa Claus, the Brahmanic Gods have not only a specific aged body but also a unique dress. As Santa Claus is always found riding a sledge driven by reindeers so is Brahmanic trio: Brahma, Vishanu and Mahesh.

Brhma is depicted as 90 year old man sporting white beard, wearing white shirt and dhoti. He is either seated over a lotus or hangs in the air. Vishanu is of blue color, upper half of body naked, always lying on a snake and his wife massaging his legs. Shiv or Mahesh is blackish blue, wearing no clothes but simply wrapping a deerskin around his waist. He is either found sitting on icy rock or a bull. His wife is found normally sitting on his thigh. Brhma is never found with his mate.

So the Gods of Brahmans have distinct dresses and shapes. Now it is inexplicable how Brhma or Vishanu could not have achieved their goal by not incarnating themselves in the shape of a swine.

As per Brahmanic scriptures as many as 30 incarnations of their Gods have already occurred. Only these three have done the exercise of incarnation. However, there are contradictory claims as to which God incarnated in which shape.

So the claim of Gita that the God creates himself is void abinitio. He rather three Gods of Brahmans is already a "created object" having definite shape and dress. Mind it, Gita does not say that God "moulds" himself in another shape to protect religion. It says "I create myself".

There is one thing common and certain about the Avtār. All the Avtārs have been taken with pre-planned strategy and goal. Whatsoever, the incarnated fellow has to do, are settled much before the incarnation.

For example, when Raam was alive, 30 million Brahman saints and some thousand gods' wives came to have sex with Raam but as he was not in a position to fulfill their demand in that birth, he booked all of them for his next round. Hence, the Brahmanic God had to incarnate himself as Krishan to fulfill sex desire of some 30 million, 18 thousand and nine women!

Raam had incarnated only to murder Emperor Ravana. The abduction of Sita was immaterial. As the wolf was to devour lamb whether or not he muddled the water, same was the story of Raam.

#### Possibility of Avtār

As stated in the above verses of Gita, the Avtār could be possible only if following four conditions are fulfilled.

- 1. There should be existence of a God.
- 2. The God should be omnipotent.
- 3. There should be decay of religion.
- 4. There should be suppression of saints.

#### 1. Existence of God:

So far as existence of God is concerned Buddha Dhamma and Jainism do not believe in the existence of any God. As per the Buddha, the Universe is governed by the Rule of Cause and Effect.

The Rule of Cause and Effect has two implications: first that every event has a cause and second that similar causes have similar effects. For example, the 100 degree Celsius temperature causes the water to boil and at 0 degree Celsius the temperature causes it to freeze.

Similarly, a seed is cause of sprout; a flower is cause of fruit and fruit is cause of seeds. Thus the chain continues. No God is required for this cause and effect chain.

During the Ravidas-Kabir era of 15<sup>th</sup> century AD, all the saints raised their voice against the fraudulent theory of God's incarnation. They all believed and preached that God, if any, is formless.

All the saints asserted that a person born thru the womb of a female could **never** be called a God. And it is impossible that God would incarnate himself like Raam, Krishan, Parshu, Narsingham etc. to kill a particular person. The God is never so helpless that he has to change his form to kill one particular person. **More important is** the fact that the incarnated Gods of Brahmans committed more sins than the person whom they killed!

All the saints preached that the theory of God and his incarnations has been crafted just to make fool of others. **There is NOT a single instance where the God caused any good to layman**. Only the priests have benefited the most of this theory. For the Brahmanic priests, existence of God and gods has been source of income: the income in the form of woman, wealth and wine!

By creating thousands of Gods and their incarnations, the Brahmans have plundered Indians by all means. Even today, their many temples earn more than what many states of India earn. Devdasis (virgin girls offered to temple priests) still dance in the temples and later earn their livelihood thru prostitution. Free flow of wine can be seen in any temple of Kali (wife of Shiva).

The Buddha and Mahavir were not inclined to make money so they denied existence of God. Nanak, Kabir, Ravidas were only interested in the welfare of mankind so they in stead of God preached moral values.

In all other theist religions, God is regarded as formless entity. The Christians, Muslims, Sikhs have a belief that God is there to support good and deter bad. They believe him to be like a system of benevolence. No religion other than Brahmanism ever propounded such theory of God coming to the Earth and doing all non-sense.

All the Saints have preached the message that there is no God engaged in creating and destroying the universe. Right from the first Saint i.e. Gautama the Buddha to Saints like Kabir, Ravidas and Nanak none accepted God as anything different from human beings. No true saint ever believed in gimmick that are attributed to God in Brahmanism. For them Moral Order is the God.

Only the Brahmanists have designed innumerable Gods and their Avatars. Heartless murderers, rapists, gamblers, impotents by mind & body, beasts and half beasts have been created as Gods. Like a Super Bazar or Mall, the disciple has to exercise his option. All sorts of God are available there in Brahmanism.

The Brahmans created stories of incarnations of their Trio: Brahma, Vishanu and Mahesh. Vishanu took incarnation by dividing himself in four parts. His half part incarnated as Ram, ¼ incarnated as Laxman. Bharat and Shatrughan each had equal share of remaining ¼ of Vishanu.

In addition to the trio, some other communities also lodged their claim on incarnation. Hence, the Naga king Sheshnag is also claimed to have taken incarnation in the body of Laxman. Thus, Laxman had full sheshnag and ¼ Vishanu in his body. How they adjusted, nobody knows. First Laxman committed suicide. Thus, he returned his part to Vishanu. Then Ram committed suicide. He returned his ½ share. There is no news about the other two. Thus, Vishanu re-assembled in parts.

Strangely, the Atman or soul is indivisible but Parmatma is divisible.

The interesting thing is that Vishanu not only took birth in five men (Ram & Bros + Parshu) but also he remained intact lying on the Sheshnag. Sheshnag took birth as Laxman but he too remained intact lying under Vishanu. It is not possible at all to create double of a thing and also keep intact the original.

It is against the basic Rules of Nature. Supposing we have a dough of 500 gms. Can we make 1000 gms of cake or bread with it and also keep the 500 gms dough intact. If anyone thinks that God can do it, then why millions of people die of starvation every year.

#### 2- Good and Omnipotent God:

Another point and more important than the 'existence of God' regarding theory of Avtār or incarnation is whether the God, if any, is good and omnipotent simultaneously. In other words, question arises: can the God be good as well as almighty all the time.

ANSWER IN EITHER WAY, NEGATIVE OR AFFIRMATIVE UPROOTS THE THEORY OF INCARNATION. If God is good as well as omnipotent, why does he allow bad elements to take birth? If Vishanu is almighty why did he allow Emperor Ravana to commit "atrocities on saints".

If God is not almighty or omnipotent, he is unfit to be God who is regarded as creator of this universe. If he has no power to stop an evil to sprout, how could he have power to uproot the fully grown tree of evil?

In fact, to be Good and Omnipotent are two contradictory traits. These can not be vested in one entity, at least till evil exists in this world. It is simple logic :if evil exists in this world, there CAN NOT exist a Good and Omnipotent God.

And where does exist the bloody Good God when a mere touch by any of 300 million 'low-caste' people 'pollutes' the whole water in the well! Their women and girls are not allowed to cover their breasts while coming in the town as a mark that they are low-caste fellows! Are these humiliated low-caste people not 'pupil of God'? If they are, why that bloody God takes no action against the so-called high caste Brahmans who crush these fellows under their boot. If these low-caste are not his pupils, what the hell they are to do of that God!!

If the God does not incarnate to save these 300 million people whose mere sight makes other 'polluted' how could be called good God. And if he has no power to punish these criminals of humanity, how could he be called a God.

Further if these Brahmans are also product of that God. Who can be more 'bad' than that God who created such heartless criminals! These criminals have been sucking blood of Dalits since last 2100 years. The God never incarnated to stop exploitation by these goons. Why? Either he too is criminal or he does not exist at all.

It is naked fact that bad elements have always existed and will, perhaps, exist till this world exists. So God or at least, Good God does not exist.

Let us, for a moment, presume there is existence of God. Now see the history of mankind. See how many saints he produced: Buddha, Christ, Nanak, Kabir, Ravidas and ...... at the most 20, 30 or 50. And see the criminals produced by him: more than 30 million criminal Brahmans are in India alone! And as VTR Sir labels them "Jews of America" are in hundreds of millions, sucking blood of humanity.

WHAT IS THE USE OF SUCH A CRIMINAL PRODUCING GOD?

Thus the theory of Gita that God incarnates to save humanity is farce, lie and deceit only.

Supposing, God is good but not omnipotent, then he is not capable of staging incarnation at his will. And if anyhow, he manages an incarnation, what a feeble God will or can do harm to an all-powerful criminal. Hence, there has NEVER been nor is there any possibility of God coming to this world and protecting the Saints.

Christ was one of the Best Saints. The criminals struck nails thru every limb of his body and he was murdered in most heinous method. The God never looked down what was going on with his Son!

So far as Gods of Brahmans are concerned, NOT A SINGLE incarnated or original God did anything which a normal human being does! Every one of them committed such crimes and immoral deeds, a common can never even think of doing. The real or reel life of Mafia dons appears to be minuscule when compared to deeds of Brahmanic Gods.

For example, now-a-days Osama Bin Laden is termed as deadliest terrorist. He is alleged to have destroyed two business towers of America.

Now compare his alleged act of terrorism with those of the Brahmanic Gods. Krishan conspired with Arjun and burnt alive thousands of children, women, boys and old people in Khandav forest without any provocation. Hanuman went to Lanka as messenger of Raam. He burnt down whole of the city including children, women, boys and old people residing there.

Both Krishan and Hanuman are claimed to be incarnation of God. What religion they re-established by burning down innocent children?

Whereas most of the people do not believe in American allegations labeled against Osama, the misdeeds of these Gods are narrated in their own religious books that are recited day & night on TV Radio etc. The alleged deeds of Osama are just like tiny pellet of toy-catapult against the deadly nuclear bombs of Krishan and Hanuman.

Their another incarnation of God is Parshu sometimes called Parshuram also. Why his name is Parshu is itself a heart-rending story. Parshu means a giant axe with which one can cut down even an elephant in single stroke.

This Brahmanic incarnation of God butchered down, hold your breath, as many as 3,06,18,000 men besides 1,09,35,000 animals. That means he cut down more than 40 million living being with his axe.

In addition to these, he cut into pieces whole of the Kashtriyas people; kids, youth, old and aged. ALL. That murderer God of Brahmans even cut wombs of pregnant women, took out the unborn babies and cut them into pieces! Such are the incarnated Gods of Brahmans!

That Brahmanic God did so not once but repeated this murderous melee for 21 times. Every time, wherever he found a Kashtriya boy or a pregnant kshtriya woman, he used his axe to cut them into pieces. Hence he is named as Parshuram.

Had Mr. Osama been a Brahmanic person, today he would have been consecrated as deity, if not a God or god.

If we count numbers of living being killed and murdered in Brahmanic Hindu scriptures I am sure the figure will surpass the numbers of person who died natural death! Such are their Gods and Goddesses!

How shameless and heartless criminals are their God! Hence the theory of incarnation of God should be buried deep down in the ocean along-with the Gita and its preachers!

How wretched criminal was the ACP of ISCON who intentionally with mens rea, made fool of others. He knew very well that Gita is book of criminals, by a criminal and for the criminals. Like him, those who mislead the innocent people should be hanged upside down till death.

#### **Brahmanic Gods: Path To Suicide**

All the theist religions believe in the existence of one God. They may or may not believe in other gods. But in Brahmanism the Gods have queued up up-to 330 millions. Every Hindu worships tens of his personal Gods. No two Hindus have same number or names of Gods to worship. Everyone has a different combination of Gods.

Despite being holder of maximum number of Gods, the Hindu priests and preachers have the largest in number to commit suicide for failing to attain their God.

Mr. S.N. Shastri has given a list of those who committed suicide when they could not find their God:

- There was one math teacher namely Tirathram. One day he found bad omen in his name. He reversed his name and became Ramtirath. He not only changed his name but profession also. He started preaching "अहं ब्रह्मारिम" i.e. I am God Brhma. (Brhma was a God who raped his own daughter and grand-daughters). Despite claiming to be God, he drowned in a river knowingly or unknowingly, only his God knows.
- Fundamental Frankful Frankful
- Sankar, the founder of four Mutts of Brahmans, also claimed that he is Brahm. He went to the extent of claiming that everybody is brahm. But when a low-caste man came to meet him, he treated him with indignity. When Buddhists opposed his double standard, he committed genocide of the Buddhists with the help of king Sundhwa's army. But ultimately when he failed to become Brhama, he committed suicide.
- There was one Chaitnya. He was not content with calling himself a God. He called himself Mahaprabhu i.e Super God. But he too could not find even an ordinary God and ultimately drowned himself in the sea.
- Gyaneshwar is famous for proving some peculiar truth about Vedas. One fine morning, a buffalo bellowed. He gathered the people and proved the buffalo was reciting vedic hymns. He thus proved that the bellowing of buffalo is equal to recital of Vedic hymns. Anyhow, he could not find God thru Vedas and committed suicide.
- Ramdas, the foremer Guru of Shivaji Maratha too committed suicide after he failed to find God.
- Krishan the Brahmanic God got killed all kith & kin of Pandavs who ultimately committed suicide in Himalayan ice after the death of Krishan.
- What to speak of others, even Laxman, the quarter part of Vishanu committed suicide in Saryu canal at the instance of Raam. And ultimately Raam, one of the Brahmanic top Gods, too committed suicide by jumping into the Saryu canal. He is claimed to be one half of Vishanu the rapist.
- There is, however, true story on other side also. In the above cases people committed suicide for not attaining God. In the case of Sita something reverse happened. Here the top God of Brahmans ran after Sita but she committed suicide.

#### 3. Decay of Religion

Mr ACP of ISCON claims that whenever and wherever the religion and saints are attacked, their God takes birth and reaches there to save them.

But here arises some problem which ACP could not realise. If their God takes birth as human being, he has to stay in mother's womb for approximately 280 days.

Only then, he could take birth as healthy child. Another period of 15-20 years is required for him to grow up suitably so that he may kill or murder the enemies of his religion and saints.

Thus, there is no respite or reprisal for the suffering saints and their religion for almost a quarter century. Take for example case of Raam.

- The brahmanic saints were weeping bitterly that King Ravana was persecuting them. For years, they cried.
- Then they travelled to Vishanu-land, some where in deep oceans. In those days, away by months journey. They made him agreed to take birth as Dashrath's sons.
- Then Dashrath, an impotent king, sent his concubines and prostitutes to bring Rishyashring to to Ayodhya so that Raam could be produced.
- Raam's mother got pregnant during yag. At the age of 14 Raam won Sita in the stake of gambling called Savyambar.
- Thereafter, for 14 years he remained in Ayodhya when he conspired to grab throne during the absence of his brother Bharat who was de jure (lawful) successor to throne.
- He was exiled. On completion of 14 years, he murdered King Ravana.

Thus, the Brahmanic 'saints' had to bear Ravana's persecution for half a century. So the ACP's claim that their God takes birth whenever there is persecution, is totally bogus and false.

One thing more is to be remembered. Their another scripture Bhagvat Puran whom ACP treats as book of their God, says that as soon as even the most wretched criminal utters the word "hari" their God pardons all his sins & crimes and personally comes to take that man to Heavens. So if any person committed millions of murders and rapes, if he uttered "Hari", the incarnation would prove useless.

Only once their God took instant incarnation as fully grown woman. He became Mohini the prostitute. Shiv was so enchanted by her beauty that he rushed after her like a lustful elephant run after female elephant. It became almost impossible for Vishanu to save herself from the rape by Shiv. Incidentally, Shiv's semen ejaculated and Vishanu got reprieve. Otherwise today Vishanu would have been mother of many sons of Shiv.

Thereafter, no Branmanic God dared to incarnate as fully grown person!

So far, re-establishing the decayed religion is concerned, the God of Brahmans has not come since last 3500 years. The historians claim that MB war occurred in around 1500 BC. There has not been any birth of their God since Krishan died after MB war. If we accept their brahmanic calculations, their last god came some million years ago. Since then their God/god never felt any decay of their religion.

More important is the fact that the God has come only to save Brhamanic saints and their religion. He never came to protect Christians, Muslims, Jews, Sikhs, Jains etc.

Another important fact is that the God came only to save a particular caste i.e. Brahman. What to speak of protecting other castes of Hinduism, the God specifically came to kill or murder Kshtriyas, an anti-Brahman warrior caste in Hindu society). And their God says in each and every book of theirs that Shudras are made only to be

thrashed, raped and exploited. You treat Shudras in any cruel manner, the God will never come to save them.

That is why, Tulsi a brahmanic poet dared say:

ढोल गंवार पस् शूद्र नारी! ये सकल ताड़न के अधिकारी!!

That is drum, unwise person, animal, Shudra and woman work only when they are beaten. They are 'eligible' for one thing only that is that can be thrashed.

That is why Raam did not hesitate to murder Shudra Saint Shambook for no fault of his. On the other hand, all Gods and gods of Brahmanism literally licked feet of Brahman caste people. Therefore, Tulsi the Brahman declared : "विप्र धेनु सुर संत हित लीन्ह मनुज अवतारा"

That is God has incarnated as man for the benefit of Brahman, cow, sur (wine drinking gods) and saints. The rest of the world: go to hell. No other religion claimed that the God/god comes to the Earth to save its priests only. It is brahmanic religion only which claims that God comes to protect priests i.e. Brahman caste only. For this reason, all Brahmaic saints committed such heinous crimes that ordinary criminal could never dare to think even!

Hence a natural question follows: what is that religion whom the God of Brahmans comes to save and re-establish!

We need to know their definition of Dharam i.e. religion or those deeds that fall in the ambit of their religion. Before giving Brahmanic version, we give below one quote from rock-edict of Emperor Ashok. It goes like:

#### धम्म साधु, कियम चु धम्मे ति? अपसिनवे, बहु कयणे, दया, दाने, सच्चे शोचये!!

That is:

Every one says Dhamma is beneficial to all but tell me what is the Dhamma.

A lot of compassion, kindness, benevolence, truthfulness and purity of mind and deeds is called Dhamma.

This is definition of term 'Dhamma' as per Buddhism. Now let us find out what is included in the ambit of Dharam by the Brahmans. The Brahmanism does not give any definition of Dharam. But there are many incidents which their scriptures claim to be acts of Dharam i.e. religion. A few are quoted:

- 1. Yudhishtar is known as Dharamraj i.e. Chief of Religion. His every act and word is called Religion. What he did, the whole world knows. Dropadi was wife of his younger brother. In India, wife of younger brother is considered like a daughter. But he lustfully declared her to be wife of all the five brothers. Being eldest, he got first chance to enjoy wedding night with her. Later on, he put her on stake of gambling and lost her to his cousins who unclothed her publicly. These are acts of Dharamraj!
- 2. If husband asks his wife to have sex with other male(s), it is as per Sanatan Dharam (Eternal Religion) of the Brahmans. (MB 121.3)

- 3. In ancient times, unwed girls used to have open sex with anyone. In Satyug (Age of Truth) it was the religion (of Brahman). (MB 121 & 122). It appears Krishan came to re-establish this system only.
- 4. One Brahman Saint forcibly carried away a woman and raped her. Her son requested his father to save his mother. His father, a Saint namely Uddalak told his son that it is their Eternal religion that the Brahman can rape any female. (Adi Parav 122)
- 5. In Ashavmedha yag (a yag in which horse is killed, roasted and eaten) when chief wife of the yajman i.e. host copulates with the horse, it is done as wish of religion. (Ramayan Balkand 14.33) The founder of Arya Samaj, Mr. Dayanand also testifies to the fact. (S.P. 286 Chap.11)
- 6. Niyog has been part and parcel of religion since time immemorial. Under this system of Brahmanic religion, a woman is forced to have sex with any number of men to get 10 sons out of her. Rishi i.e. Saint Dayanand declares that it is Sin to avoid Niyog. (SP 4-112). Prior to him, Saint Savetketu gave the same ruling. It was the same boy whose mother was raped.

Manu ruled that it is religion to have niyog with a Brahman but it is sinful have niyog with male of other caste. (9.64)

It is not surprising that almost every character in Mahabharat is product of Niyog. There is hardly any legitimately born character there.

It is worth noting that Gita is part of MB whose religion was Niyog. So, everybody can guess, which religion Krishan talks of saving by taking birth in every yug i.e. age.

- 7. In Brahmanism it is an act of religion that the blood soaked head of the killed living being is offered to their Goddess Kali on the leaf of lotus.
- 8. Goddess Ganga tells Sahntanu (great grand father of MB heroes) that it is act of religion to have sex with any woman who sits on left thigh of a man. (Adi 97) It is notable that Ganga is considered most sacred goddess of Brahmanism.
- 9. It is act of religion that a cow or bull up-to the age of 2 years, is cooked and offered in food to a Vedic Scholar Brahman. (Uttar ramcharit as quoted in Sarita Reprint 6.154)
- 10. Pandu reveals that females are uncontrollable and free in the matter of having sex with any male; it has been their- Sanatan Dharam; in Kurukshetra this religious rule is being followed even now; the God Sun had revealed this ancient religion to Kunti (mother of MB Pandavs) (quoted from MB by Sir Rajwade 84)
- 11. Dakash gifted his daughter to his father Brahma who produced Saint Narad from her. Ten Parchetas and Som had sex with their daughter and produced Dakash Prjapati. He produced 27 girls, which he offered to his father for producing children. (Harivansh 2) (Harivansh means Family tree of God)

Almost a century after the MB, Vaishmpayan told these facts to Janmaijay (great grandson of Arjun) who put forward very natural question: why did Brahma and his sons commit such irreligious deeds. Vaishampayan replied that in those

ancient days **THIS WAS THE RELIGION OF ARYANS.** (Harivansh 3, Rajwade 75)

- 12. It is act of religion for a widow to immolate herself with the burning pyre of her dead husband. (Athrav ved 18.3.1 Sarita 3.72)
- 13. Gita says when religion decays male and females from separate castes produce varansankar i.e. 'hybrid' children. (1.40) For example Indira Gandhi (a brahman) married a non-brahman Parsi man. As per Krishan it amounts to decay of religion because they produced hybrid children. He further says that such children are family-destroyer and they carry their family to hell.

The practical definition of religion has been given by Bheesham: Religion is the one which a mighty man tells to be religion because the other people have to accpet it as such. The religion told by a weak is eclipsed under the religion of mighty. (Sabha parv 69.15)

That is why the misdeeds done by Tulsi, Krishan and Ram etc are called 'religious' because they were mighty. Saints like Kabir, Ravidas, Mira etc were weak, hence there moral values too were buried under the weight of these mighty Satans. The Brahmans were mighty; hence their cow-eating and cow-worshipping both are religion!

Tulsi the Brahman has revealed, though unintentionally, reality of their God's incarnations in the episode when Raam planned and murdered Bali while hiding behind trees. On the verge of death, Bali said :

#### धरम हेत अवतार गोसाईं, मारेहु मोहि व्याध की न्याईं

That is Gosain Tulsi says that that Raam took incarnation for the sake of Dharam i.e. religion. Thus, he murdered Bali like a hunter.

Thus from the foregoing, it is evident that for Gita or Krishan or Brahmans religion NEVER meant moral or righteous deeds. Whatsoever their mighty people did, it was termed as Dharam i.e. religion. Their Dharamgranths i.e. books of religion are overwhelmed with stories of such misdeeds done by their Gods/gods that a god-fearing man can never, what to speak of doing, imagine to do.

Can anybody imagine that the Creator of this Universe could rape his own daughter and granddaughters? But here in Hinduism, Brhma did!

Can anybody imagine that the Protector of the Universe could rape wife of opponent fellow? But here in Hinduism, Vishanu did!

Can anybody imagine that the God could be penis and vagina? But here in Hinduism it is!

The list is too long, if not endless. I just want to tell that when a Brahmanic God promises to protect the Saints or Dharma, he mean to say: Brahmans and their misdeeds! Just imagine, the Brahmanic God is giving lecture of Gita to a person who lost his wife in gambling! And see what advice the so-called God is giving to him! He is abetting him to murder his near and dears for the sake of money lost in gambling!!

CAN ANY PRUDENT MAN IN THE WORLD TERM SUCH AN ABETMENT TO BE ADVICE OF GOD OR SUCH A BOOK TO BE BOOK OF RELIGION? BUT HERE IN BRAHMANISM, THAT IS HINDUISM, IT IS SO.

I think nobody would want that such a God should ever incarnate!

On the 'decay of religion', there is one story in Hitopdesh. There was a woman of loose character. Her husband came to know of it. He beat her and then tied her with a rope lest she should go out of house to meet her paramour. As she could not move out, her female accomplice came to call her. She told the visitor that she would not do such a painful deed.

What the second woman advised her, fits into the definition of Dharam of Hinduism. She said, "It is not the Dharam of unchaste woman to leave their paramours for the fear of punishment. Our Dharam will decay if we do not keep our promises made to our paramours."

The Gita talks of such decay of dharam because Krishan advised Arjun to kill his relatives as per Kshatriya Dharam. This is Dharam! Its decay also troubles God. The fact has been explained in following pages.

#### 4. PERSECUTION OF SADHUS I.E. SAINTS

So far as persecution of "Saints" is concerned, this term also demands clarifications. In India, it is common belief of people that any and all who has renounced his home and started wearing redish yellow clothes is a Saint or Sadhu.

So many people carry the tag of Saint. Some are there, upon whom people have conferred status of "Saint". For example Saint Mother Teresa, Saint Kabir, Saint Ravidas, Saint Nanak etc. Others carry tag of Saint of their own. Some of them call themselves higher than saints.

Therefore, it becomes desirable rather imperative to know who do Krishan or writer of Gita considers to be "Saint" whom he promises to protect in every age. The character of those people be verified who were 'protected' by the Gods of Brahmans to know whether they were really Saint or something else.

There should not be two opinions on the matter that Saint means a person of moral character; a person whose deeds people like to follow. On the contrary, Aa person whose deeds are deplorable or no prudent person would like to follow, can never be called a saint.

It is also an acceptable principle that the caste, color or race cannot be a criterion to ascertain whether or not a person can be called a Saint. A person, with Moral Character should be deemed to be saint. And those who commit sinful and criminal acts cannot and should not be called "Sadhu" or "Saint", whatsoever might be their caste or creed.

Mere taking birth in a specific family or caste or allegiance to any specific God/god does not make a person "saint" if his acts are otherwise devoid of moral values. People like Vashishath, Vishvamitar, Dirghtma, Dum etc who targeted women and animals to fulfill their lust, cannot be called Sadhu or Saint, though all of them were born in so-called high caste.

#### Definition of Sadhu (Saint) in Gita

Gita provides definition of the term "Sadhu" i.e. Saint in Chap 9 Verse 30 as under:

#### अपि चेत्सुदुराचारो भजते मामनन्यभाक्! साधुरेव स मन्तव्यः सम्यग्व्यवसितो हि सः!!

Before providing transliteration of the whole verse, a word-by-word transliteration is given so that no doubt remains in anybody's mind as to the connotation of Sadhu i.e. Saint in the Gita.

```
अपि = also
चेत् = if
सु—दुराचारो = immoral or wicked person to the extreme
भजते = recite
माम = me
अनन्यभाक् = without interruption
साधु = Saint, well-doer
रेव = surely
स = he, she
मन्तव्यः = considered
सम्यक् = fully
व्यवसितो = resolute
हि = surely
स: = he, she
```

Thus the transliteration of the complete verse is as under:

### If a person leads life of extremely bad character but recites my name resolutely, he too is surely a Sadhu or Saint.

The verse very explicitly describes who a Sadhu i.e. saint is, and who to protect the God/god comes to Earth. Needless to make

Just a few months back one case surfaced in Delhi area. One person was accused of luring small girls in his house. He would first strangulate them to death. Then he would have sex with the corpse. Finally he would take out organs from the corpse. He ate them or sold in grey market, it is yet to be known.

It is perhaps a case of extreme inhumanity, immorality or whatsoever deplorable name we could assign to it. As per Gita's definition of Saint, if such Satan also recites name Krishan-Krishan, he be considered a Saint. And God promises whenever such "saints" are in jeopardy he will incarnate to save them!

It gives clue to one fact that whenever a Brahmanic saint pronounces "Krishan-Krishan", it means something immoral idea had struck his mind. Many a times, the Brahmanic saints could be found gazing at beautiful women with leer and chanting 'Krishan-krishan'. It means either they want to have that woman as krishan had plenty or as per Gita's advice they chant his name so that he treats them as a Saint!

Also the Brahmans whose Universe creator rapes his own daughter, Universe protector rapes innocent wife of the opponent and Universe destroyer chases to rape a God-prostitute, what else definition of 'Saint' can we expect from their God! And when Krishan castrated his maternal-uncle and enjoyed his wife Radha, how could anyone expect him to define a Saint as moral person!

In Rajasthani dialect there goes an adage: Aak produces aak, not the mangoes. Aak is a wild plant. Its fruit resembles the mango but it is inedible by man or animal. Similar is the situation with regard to Brahmanism i.e. Hinduism. Their leaders are called God and Saints but none even possessed bare human values.

In fact the Brahmanic Avtārs have been designed to cover up stories of misdeeds committed by brahmans. Their Avtārs did nothing except shielding the corrupt. They murdered the real saints and protected the goons. Ram murdered Saint Shambook without provocation, Hanuman burnt down whole of people in Lanka.

In modern era, hundreds of saints have been not only persecuted but also murdered but God never incarnated. Thousands of Bhikkus were murdered by Sankar and other invaders but God never came to protect them. Saints like Ravidas, Tukaram and Chokhamela were murdered, Saints like Kaqbir and Nanak were put into jail bit God did not appear. Jesus was fixed on cross by hammering nails in his arms and legs. God never came! What the sainthood was there in wretched criminal (gambler) Yudhister that the God had to incarnate?

So the God or its Avtār never protected a real saint.

#### Why the God not came when ......

- Aurangjeb allegedly converted thru force millions of Hindus to Islam;
- In Punjab and J&K over 53000 innocent people were butchered in a decade;
- Hundreds of foreigner plunderers attacked, looted temple and also daughters and sisters of the priests;
- After Babri Mosque demolition thousands of innocents were butchered;
- After the assassination of Indira Gandhi thousands of innocent people were butchered throughout India;
- In 1018 Gajanavi attacked temples of Mathura and Vrindavan. He broke the idols and took away 30 mounds of gold and jewellary. (Pb Kesari 26-11-2000) The krishan did not come even to save his own den where he enjoyed over 30 million women.
- In 100 BC Brahmans grabbed throne of India by murdering Emperor Vrihdarth grandson of Emperor Ashoka. Thereafter, we Indians remained slave to almost every clan of the world. Even slaves like Altmash, Rajia Sultan ruled over us. The invaders not only looted temples but also abducted women. Akbar overwhelmed his bed-rooms with Kshtriya damsels.
  - But the God did not turn up! What 'decay of religion' was there in gambling of Yudhistra which he could not hear in the cries and sobs of women and girls.
- Recently the thieves took away gold and silver ornaments from Lingraj temple of Bhuvneshwar (The Hindu 7-11-01) Agreed it was a small incident but Somnath temple was plundered 17 times. How strange it is, their God lost not a single second in coming whole of whole to chase Mohini the prostitute but he found no time to come when daughters of his Aryavart were being herd away by the goons!

- A news was flashed on ETV Rajasthan in Oct. 2005 that a minor girl was raped inside a temple in the state by four men including its priest. But the God did not come though the crime was committed before his idol. But perhaps it was bit better for the girl that the god remained as such. Had he come in blood and flesh, perhaps the number of rapists would have gone up by one.
- Leave aside the others, when Sita, the wife of incarnated god was being abducted by King Ravana, she cried the whole way for help but Ram did /could not hear. The God who could not save his own wife, how can others expect that he would save theirs, if ever need be.

The character and deeds of Brahmans and their Gods have always been questioned by the people. But the cunning Brahmans have devised a solution to it. Whenever, such a question was raised, a pet answer was fired "धर्मस्य तत्वं निहितं गृह्मम" i.e. the factors of religion are very secret and covered. These cannot be understood by everyone. The Leelas (deeds of God) cannot be understood by ordinary man. So the secret of Shiv running after Vishanu (Prostitute incarnation), Ram's weeping by groping trees: where is Sita, Krishan's leer for naked girls CANNOT be understood by ordinary person.

It is also surprising that the incarnation occurred in India only; even in India they confined themselves to UP area only. Did there never happen decay of Dharam outside India or the area outside India does not fall within the jurisdiction of God!

Thus the theory of Incarnation is mere an eyewash rather deceit. It has been designed for earning money by Brahmans only.

#### The Tri-Dev Saga

The falsehood of Avtārism is further strengthened by the life-history of the Gods. As per Brahmanic scriptures all the incarnations have been staged by Brahma, Vishanu and Mahesh. The trio is known as Tri-dev. Let us see their life of to ascertain whether they were such who would protect the good and punish the bad.

**Birth of Tri-Dev**: Gita says that whenever there is decay of Dharam, God incarnates. In other words one or all of them create themselves as Avtār. However, the story of their own creation is not less religious.

Once Saint Narad (world infamous backbiter) was asked a question by wives of Tridev: who is the most chaste woman in the world. He replied that Attri's wife Anusuiya is the most chaste woman. The three women challenged Narads claim. Then he narrated their cases of adulterous behaviour which made them dumb.

The wives of Tridev hatched a conspiracy to ruin Anusuiya of her chastity. They asked their husbands to go to Anusuiya and corrupt her by any means. She should not remain in a position to claim that she had "relations with one husband *only.*" The trio were more than happy to carry on orders of their wives. They adorned themselves as Brahmans and reached the house of Anusuiya.

Seeing that three Brahmans have visited her home she served them food. These Gods in the guise of Brahman put a condition that they would take food provided that

she should come naked before them. The Gods knew that *no woman could dare displease the Brahmans.* 

Anusuiya took off her clothes. The Gods also prepared themselves but before the trio could accomplish their mission, her husband came. Had he been late by a few moments, Anusuiya would have been another Vrinda or Mohini; this time victim of gang rape. The trio converted themselves into newborn babies. Anusuiya put them together in a cradle. Their bodies being new, mingled with each other's like wax. After few moments, the trio had a new form: three heads, six arms, one torso and two legs. This is the Dharamic Katha i.e. religious story of making of Tridev. (Baba Sahib Vol 8-174)

It won't be out of context to mention here that Krishan took away clothes of bathing girls and women. He did not return their clothes until and unless each one of then showed him private parts of her body. Now people have started questioning godship of Krishan; how could a God commit such a heinous crime. The Brahmanists have started a new propaganda. They claim Krishan was infant when he committed this crime.

What will they say about these three 'infants' who almost raped the one and only chaste woman of their community! Were these Gods also in their infancy; and were their wives also in their infance when they abetted their husbands to commit adultery or rape on Anusuiya!

Shame on these Gods and More Shame on them who call such criminals, God!

What lesson is conveyed thru this story? Only this that to equalise with a chaste woman, it is the religious or Godly way that the chaste woman should be made unchaste!

The story reveals the exact character of Hindu Gods and Godesses. It shows that not only the Gods were of low character but their wives too were unchaste. Unchaste by all means: mind, speech and deeds. How could an ordinary woman ask his husband to have sex with another woman. Only a whore can dare say so!

For a moment imagine the action is being performed in your presence. The three women are not talking individually to their husbands. The all six were at one place when the three women instigated the trio to have sex with Anusuiya. How could an ordinary woman ask the other men to have sex with another woman until and unless she is 'sexually' open with those men! The vice versa position is equally true.

It is anybody's guess what an incarnation of such Gods would do! He would either lift clothes of bathing women or enjoy his real maternal aunt or exile his pregnant wife or at the most murder unknown warrior by hiding behind a tree!

Mangoes Do Not Grow On Aak!

#### RELATIONSHIP AMONG TRIDEV

The study of Hindu or Brahmanic scriptures reveals one very peculiar fact: relationship among these three Brahmanic Gods who incarnate.

Parvati is daughter of Daksh and granddaughter of Brahma. She is wife of Shiv. Thus, Shiv is grandson-in-law of Brahma. Durga is sister of Krishan and wife of Shiv. Hene, Krishan is (sala) brither-in-law of Shiv. Krishan is inarnation of Vishanu. Thus, he

is also (sala) brither-in-law of Shiv. Kali is daughter of one Matang and wife of Shiv. Up till here the relationship is aeptable.

There is one episode in Devi Bhagwat Puran (Riddle 11). There is one Goddess namely Shiri. Sh rubbed her palms and gave birth to Brahma. She asked him to 'marry' (i.e. have sex) with her. He replied that she was her mother. Hence, it would be sin to do so. She burnt him to ashes. Then she gave birth to Vishanu. He too refused to the sin. He too met the same fate. Then she gave birth to Shiv. He agreed to make her his wife provided she changed her body. She also agreed to make alive his burnt brothers and also to produce two women so that all ould marry. She did and the trio married three women.

Now the relationship turns complicated rather immoral. As the trio has been born to same woman, so they are 'real' brothers. One of the brothers (Vishanu) married the 'mother' and other two married their 'real' daughters. Being married to the 'mother', Vishanu became 'father' of the remaing four. Shiv and Brahma became co-brothers (साढू) and sons-in-law to Vishanu. Whereas Brhama is grandfather-in-law and Vishanu brother-in-law of Shiv respetively.

No Hindu could ever imagine to develope such immoral relationships. The real Hindu brothers can NEVER do such an act which tie them into the relationship of father-in-law and son-in-law. In Haryanavi dialect, Brahma and Shiv were Ghelad (गेलड़). Ghelad are the children that a woman produces before marrying her present husband and bring them to the house of new husband. The children are adopted/acepted by the new husband at the time of marriage.

When the original Gods did not keep sanctity in their relationship, how could their Avtars do something different? It was natural for Krishan to have illicit links with Radha who was real wife of Rayan brother of Yashoda who is foster mother of Krishan.

Another surprising fact is that in olden times, only these three Gods fought with the opponents. But since the creation of these Goddesses, the Gods have been put into cold storage. Either they have grown old; their limbs have tired or stopped functioning properly. They have been sidelined and their wives and/or daughters have taken charge of the war.

Another surprising fact is there. Only the wives of Shiv are in the arena. Lakshami and Sarasvati never appeared for a fight. Baba Sahib Ambedkar too has expressed his ashtonishment over this developement. (Riddle11)

Why Brahmans did this, none has the answer. The only reason appeared to be that the Brahmans, like a clever trader, have always introduced new models of Gods and Goddesses in their system so that their business did not suffer. As soon as one God stops generating income, they replace it with another.

In ancient times, when wine and beaf eating were not taboos, they had Indra as their supreme God who would eat up 20 bulls and drink drumful of wine at a time. Vishanu was merely a Deputy to him. Today none knows obsolete model i.e. Indra. When King Ravana banned cow sacrifices and beaf eating, the Brahmans started cowworshiping by creating another model of their God as Krishan. Nobody knew of Vaishno Devi prior to 60s, today she is Devi No.1 earning millions of Rupees for Brahmans.

Whereas in Gita murder of Madhu is attributed to Krishan by calling him 'Madhusudan' i.e. who gave death to Madhu. However, in Devi Bhagvat Puran, the

Mother of Brahmans (Mata) is claimed to have done away with Madhu and his brother Kaitabh by luring them thru her charming lustful beauty.

One more fact is also worth mentioning. The trio has produced some of the Devis jointly. But the trio itself is found nodding before the product of their joint venture. Baba Sahib Ambedkar expresses his surprise that how could the powerless or coward male Gods could produce so mighty Goddesses. If they had the power, why they themselves lost to the opponents. Baba Sahib term it insult of the Gods. (Riddle 12)

#### **Tussle of Supremacy Among Tri-Dev**

Like any political figure, each God of the Tridevs fought for supremacy over the rest of two Gods. Brahmanic scriptures are full of stories of fights among these Gods for establishing their supremacy. Each God and his followers made alleation and counterallegations against the other two. Except the Anusuiya onspiracy episode, we found no harmony among these gods. They always fought like bulls, if not dogs.

Sakandh Puran narrates a story that once Brahma and Vishanu started fighting over the issue as to who is elder; hence entitled of respect from the other. Their fight was going on when Shiv appeared. He claimed that he is the eldest. Brahma brought cow as witness to his claim of age. Cow told a lie. Infuriated over false witness, Shiv killed Brahma by cutting his head. He declared Vishanu to be the eldest.

In retaliation to this insult, the followers of Brahma constructed a story that once Brahma sneezed and Vishanu fell out of his nose as a pig i.e. varah. As per story of Ram, when he was returning to Ayodhya after winning Sita, Parshu stopped him. He challenged him to break bow of Vishanu also. At this point Vishanu and Shiv started fighting. They were pacified. Ultimately Vishanu was accepted better than Shiv. (Riddle 10)

As per Baba Sahib Ambedkar the existence and fight of several Gods, gods and deities in Hinduism is evidence to the fact that it is not a homogenous entity but it is collection of different groups each one having its own God and gods. That is why it is very difficult to tell who a Hindu is.

Not only the original Gods fought with one another, their incarnations also continued the gang-war. Now it turned into caste-war. Earlier their God used to incarnate as an animal or neutral person to murder Daitya, Danav etc. Now he started signifying a specific caste and fighting within Arya community.

Parshu committed genocide of Arya Kshtriyas. Vishanu was born as Kshtriya in four parts as Ram, Laxman, Bharat and Shtrughan. Once Parshu the Brahman had a 'fight' with Ram and Laxman. Ultimately the Brahman incarnation accepted supremacy of Kshtriya Ram. Krishan also declares that Shudra and Vaish are pap-yoni i.e. product of sin. He eulogises Kshtriya Dharam.

Gita or Krishan expanded the theory of incarnation. Earlier the incarnation ceremony was prerogative of the God only but in Gita, Krishan declared Arjun also an avtar. He was made incarnation of Nar i.e. male human being. Now let us check our neighbourhood; any gambler residing in our vicinity may be Incarnation of Nar.

But if Krishan is avtar, Arjun too is avtar. He is the person who became Gold Medalist in archery after thumb of Mahavir Eklavya was cut by Arjun's master Dron the Brahman. He won Dropadi in gambling but meekly submitted her to his eldest brother

Yudhister on first wedding night. He murdered all his kith and kins by aadopting unfair means.

What else can one expect from a person to call him an incarnation?

#### **Incarnations of Brahmanism**

The best way to judge veracity of theory of incarnation is to peruse deeds of the persons who took birth as incarnation of Gods. It should be ascertained whether the incarnated beings really acted as promised in the Gita.

In order to find answer to this question, we will have to know details of the Incarnated Gods and their purpose of taking incarnation and their deeds.

The different scriptures have given different lists of incarnated beings. As stated earlier, the Brahmanic scriptures are like general stores. As different owners of the stores keep different varieties or brands of items to lure customers, so are the different Brahman writers. The mains lists are as under:

Sr N o	Harivansh Puran	Narayni Akhyan	Varah Puran	Vayu Puran	Bhagvat Puran
1	Varah (Pig)	Hans (Swan)	Kurm (Turtle)	Narsinh (Half beast)	Sanatkum ar
2	Narsinh (Half beast)	Kurm (Turtle)	Matsay (Fish)	Vaman (Dwarf)	Varah (Pig)
3	Vaman (Dwarf)	Matsay (Fish)	Varah (Pig)	Varah (Pig)	Narnaraya n
4	Parshu	Varah (Pig)	Narsinh (Half beast)	Kurm (Turtle)	Kapil
5	Ram	Narsinh (Half beast)	Vaman (Dwarf)	Sangram (Battle)	Datatray
6	Krishan	Vaman (Dwarf)	Parshu	Adivak	Yag
7		Parshu	Ram	Tirpurari	Rishabh (Bull)
8		Ram	Krishan	Andhkar (Darkness )	Prithi
9		Krishan	Buddha	Dhavj (Flag)	Matsay (Fish)
10		Kalik	Kalik	Vart	Kurm

			(Turtle)
11		Halahal (Poison)	Dhanvntri
12		Kolahal (Noise)	Mohini
13			Narsinh (Half beast)
14			Vaman (Dwarf)
15			Parshu
16			Vedvyas
17			Nardev
18			Ram
19			Krishan
20			Buddha
21			Kalik

The above lists, prima facie, indicate their falsehood. These lists reveal the facts as under:

- 1. No scripture gives a list of incarnations that matches with that of others. One says 6 avtars took place. Others say 10, 12 or 21 happened to take birth. Like grocery stores, one has 6 brands of toothpaste. Others surpassed him by displaying more brahnds. The variation in numbers shows that the writers of these books were stung by the competition of producing more and more avtars. Hence, they produced avtars as per their capacity. It also shows these are cock & bull stories.
- 2. No two scriptures are in harmony as to the sequence of incarnations. The Harivansh Puran (literally meaning 'History of Family of God') says their God first took birth as a pig or boar or swine. In India, this creature is known for his shiteating habit. The other 'History books' claim that the God was born like swan, turtle, half beast and a man. Only one book claims that their God's first birth was like a man. All others 'produced' him like a beast.
- 3. The Pig History i.e. Varah Puran says that the God first took birth as Pig then <u>it</u> produced itself as a dwarf. But Vayu Puran (History of Vayu i.e. adultrous father of Hanuman) contradicts its story and claims that God first appeared as Dwarf then he became a pig. The Bhagvat Puran (History of Gods) says no, God was first born as Pig then became Bull, Fish, Turtle, Prostitute, Half-beast and in the last, he became Dwarf. Just imagine who is telling a lie? Its one word answer is All.
- 4. The writer of Vayu Puran produced God as Flag, Poison, Noise, Darkness etc. An animal i.e. living being takes birth thru the womb of its mother. Whose 'womb' the God used to incarnate as such? When he took birth as Flag, did he come out of 'womb' with mast or rod? And when he was born as poison, did he come out sealed in a bottle or he was delivered open or unpacked? It is simply height of

non-sense at the part of Puran writer. How pitible it is, Radhakrishanan like 'scholars' call them books of religion.

- 5. It is believed that among the living cretures, human life is the best. Man is not handicap in any manner or incapable of doing a thing which an animal alone can do. Then why did the Puran writers made him tortoise, fish, bull and even the shit-eater pig! If man could shake the mountain, why could he not keep it afloat in the sea! It shows lack of common sense in the Puran writers to manufacture a story.
- 6. As per History of God's family i.e. Harivansh Puran, Ram and Krishan were NOT incarnations of God. Same thinks the Vayu Puran. Bhagvat has all varieties of incarnations including Ram and Krishan. Though HariVansh writer do not recognise Ram and Krishan as avtars of the God, they are most celebrated Gods today in Hinduism.
- 7. Like Gita the Bhagvat also talks of incarnation of Nar i.e. male human being. No other Puran writer recognise a man as incarnation. God is always considered to be One. There are more than 3 billion male human beings. Accepted, God is one (at the most three in Brahmanism) so he has to come to Earth in different forms to accomplish different acts. But what is need for Arjun to incarnate. Thousands of gamblers are available here who are ready to kill anybody for the sake of money. Even Krishan need not speak out 700X2=1400 lines to him to do so.
- 8. Not only there is disharmony in the names of Avtars but the writers differ as to who is avtar of whose God. Sometimes, the writers tell different purpose of taking avtar by one god. For example in one Puran the Pig took out the Earth out of water, the other say he brought back Vedas from the demons.

From the above, it is evident that each Puran writer is yelling his own version. In ancient times, they used to drink wine or Somras freely. Whatsoever a writer perceived in halucinations, he jotted down in his Puran. They have forged 21 so far. However, since last few years, the story-tellers are 'producing' more and more avtars of their Goddesses. SOme have started claiming that Mira was also avtar of Radha the whore.

Before discussing the deeds of Incarnated Gods, let us first see the characters of the original Gods. Let us see the nature of 'raw material' so that we may have an idea what sort of 'finished goods' i.e. the incarnated Gods would be. None can produce mangoes with the seeds of aak.

**ब्रह्मा** : अगर ब्राह्मणों की बात सत्य मान ली जाए कि ब्रह्मा उनका आदि पुरुष है तथा सभी आर्य लोग उसी के वंशज हैं तो निश्चित रूप में वे सब 'खताना ऊत'' की पैदावार हैं क्योंकि :

I ब्रह्मा ने अपनी बेटी सरस्वती सें बलात्कार करके नारद को पैदा किया. उसके जैसा चुगलखोर न कभी हुआ न कभी पैदा होगा. आज के समय किसी को नारद कहना उसे गाली देना जैसा है

II अन्य बेटी पोतियों सें संभोग करके मनु को पैदा किया जिसकी मनुस्मृति के जातिवाद के जहर को हम भारतीय आज तक झेल रहे हैं.

III ब्रह्मा ने अपनी बेटी पोतियां ही नहीं भोगी बल्कि दुल्हन बनी पार्वती पर भी नीयत खराब कर ली थी. यह तो शुक्र है कि पार्वती की रक्षा करने शिव अपना त्रिशूल लिए वहीं मौजूद था वर्ना सरस्वती की तरह पार्वती सें भी वह कितने मनु या नारद पैदा करता, आज ब्राह्मण ग्रन्थ उनकी कथाओं सें भरे मिलते. यहां ध्यान देने वाली बात है कि ब्रह्मा रिश्ते में शिव का दादा—ससुर लगता था. लेकिन जो आदमी अपनी बेटी और पोती को भोग सकता है वह पौत्र—जंवाई की वधु पर नीयत खराब कर ले तो कौन सी बड़ी अनहोनी बात हो गई.

सीधी सी बात है कि अगर ब्रह्मा भगवान होकर ऐसे कारनामे करता है तो वह अवतार लेकर वह कौन से धर्म की रक्षा करेगा, किस प्रकार के "साधुओं" की मदद करेगा, इसकी हर कोई सहज कल्पना कर सकता है.

**Vishnu:** The maximum number of Incarnations are assigned to his name. There is little life story of Vishnu himself. In later Ved he is Deputy Inder i.e. Upender. In Purans, he is engaged mostly in tussle with Shiv to prove his superiority over him.

In his life, two misdeeds are so sinful that none could even imagine in his dreams that a God can do so! Thru these two sins, Vishnu 'gifted' the goons of the world a never-fail weapon. Vishnu was the first man in the world who used 'woman' to achieve his target. The goons of the world shall always be indebted to Vishnu for this. Given below are his two mahapaps i.e. colossus sin:

1. The Hindu scriptures declare that Sur and Asurs were born to same mother. Sur were wine drinkers, gamblers and cheat but winners, hence they were called Gods. Asur were tetotallers, alms-givers and simple people but they lost to the Gods, hence they were called demons.

Once there was rift among these two brothers and their children on the point of distributioon of 'property'. It was agreed and decided that they would churn the Ocean and take out all the valuables out of it. (Just see the level of knowledge of the Puran-writer. He thought as butter floats out on shaking the curd so would happen on churning the sea-water.)

It was also agreed that the valuables coming out of sea would be owned by them in turn i.e. first article would go to Gods, second to Asurs, third to Gods, fourth to Asurs and so on.

Amrit i.e. Nectar came out in the turn of Asurs. Morality demanded this should go to the Asurs. But, what is use of Gods if they do not deceive. Vishnu hatched a sinful deceit. He adorned himself as 'most beautiful prostitute' and appeared at the site. For a moment, all were mesmerised by her beauty. Before Asurs could regain their senses, Vishnu lifted their pitcher of netcar and fled away. Thus, the Brahmanic God taught his people that woman could be used to deprive others of their lawful belongings.

This eternal tradition has been constantly used by Brahmans to achieve victory over the opponents. Inder has applied this formula millions of times to save his throne from his opponents. Even Brahmanic Goddesses of Power did not hesitate to show their beautiful limbs to trap their prey. Even Brahmanic people unsuccessfully tried this weapon against Baba Sahib Ambedkar.

2. In the first attempt, Vishnu himself became a 'female' but in his second attempt he did what a normal man can NEVER imagine of doing. NO SECOND EXAMPLE IS AVAILABLE IN ANY OTHER RELIGION IN THE WORLD.

King Jallandhar was ruler of Indian territory. He was true replica of Emperor Ashoka. Puran testifies that in his kingdom there was peace everywhere. It

says that a damsel wearing load of gold ornaments and moving out in the dead of night had no threat to her chastity, life or ornaments.

The Gods got jealous of him. They thought that if that trend continued, people would forget them. They approached Vishnu. He inquired into the matter and found that Ma Vrinda was the power behind King Jallandhar.

With his criminal mind, he chalked out a conspiracy. The Gods were happy to know his evil designs. Gods engaged King Jallandhar in a battle outside his capital. Vishnu dressed like King Jallandhar and in the darkness entered the house of Ma Vrinda. She mistook him as her husband. And before she could raise any resistence, he committed rape on her.

He was caught and his face was blackened but in the meantime, Ma Vrinda committed suicide. Since then in Indian peninsula, it has become a custom that a face of the sinner is balckened. King Jallandhar was down with the grief of suicide by his beloved wife, when Gods attacked and kiled him. A state of Utopia was ruined by the Hindu Gods!

Thru this criminal sin, the Supreme God of Brahmans gave a message to his people as to how to 'use' a woman to conquer one's opponent. He told his people that use of woman either as Mohini or as Ma Vrinda never goes waste. **THUS, THE VISHNU COULD BE CALLED 'ADI-GUNDA' THAT IS 'SEED CRIMINAL'.** 

Perhaps a mafia don too would be hesitant in taking suh heinous step. But in Brahmanism such a criminal is their supreme god.

It is anybody's guess, what would he do after taking incarnation as Ram and Krishan. It is natural that incarnations of such a criminal would cut nose and ears of women proposing for marriage or elope with married women of relatives.

Fortunately, the residue of the teachings of our Saints: Buddha, Mahavir Jain, Kabir, Ravidas, Nanak etc, still exists in our conscience which forbid us from adopting misdeeds of Vishnu like "Gods". Only a few criminals follow him.

Just a short time back, there occurred a 'Tehlaka Scandal' in which one courageous journalist exposed politicians, Ministers and high ranking officers who take bribe in the purchase of weapons for Defence forces. Later on, the journalist was accused of taking services of 'unwanted' girls to expose all these corrupt people. Almost every news paper admonished the journalist for taking services of such girls but these papers themselves publish stories of the Vishnu who used woman for personal gains.

**Mahesh or Shiv**: The third one in the trio is known as Mahesh or Shiv. Not too many incarnations are registered in his name. He is known as God of Death. All ghosts, demons, draculas and evil spirits are his followers. He is believed to be God of Shudras.

He is the only person who could successfully challange authority of Vishnu. Some writers advance a theory that he was God of original inhibitants of India. When Aryans invaded India, he resisted their move. But ultimately, he gave up when granddaughters of Brahma became his wives. Despite being 'Jawaeen' i.e. son-in-law of Brahmanic Super God, their hate for him did not vanish. Tulsi made Ram to say in his Ramcharit: Shiv worshipper is my foe.

As per Harivash Puran Brahma's son Daksh gave his one daughter Sati to Mahesh. But despite that relationship, he was always kept away from family functions.

Yags were the source of income, food, wine and even authority. Daksh did not give Shive his due share. His wife coitted suicide in the fire of yag. Infuriated over this incidence, he sent his men who destroyed the yag and killed Daksh like the animal is killed in yag. **The attackers even urinated in the yag fire.** 

It shows that Shiv had no respect for yags which was lifeline of Aryans. Anyhow, Shiv was presented another woman instantly.

However, Shiv too was Brhamanised. Some incidents have been associated with his name also.

- 1. In Rajasthan, when someone dies, women sing songs for whole of night. These songs are called 'Harjas' i.e. praise of God. One of the Harjas depicts life of Shiv. It says that when Shiv returned after meditation of 12 years, he found a nine-year-old boy guarding his abode. When Shiv tried to enter, he resisted his move. Hence, the God cut his neck.
  - This story clearly states that Shiv had not fathered that boy Ganesh. Parvati produced her in his absence. The Shiv who is claimed to be Triloki-nath i.e. owner of all the three worlds and having every-moment information of the universe could not know that Ganesh was son of his wife Parvati who produced him when he was engaged in meditation.
  - More important is the fact that the God was so cruel that he cut the head of a little boy. Anybody can guess what would he do after taking incarnation.
- 2. His second action was that of running after Vishnu the Mohini to quench his lust. Fortunate was Vishnu that he could save herself from the cluches of lustful Shiv. Otherwise, how many children Vishnu would have given birth, would have been other subject matter of Purans. Perhaps due to this, Ram gifted 16 adolescent girls to his incarnation Hanuman. The Ramayan says (Uttarkand) that the girls had just entered their youth and their genitals had just started growing hair. Nothing is left to guess what the incarnation of Shiv did of those girls.
- 3. His third story is about worship of his penis or phallus. Once all the three Gods had a fight on the question: who is the supreme. Their fight bore no result. Ultimately, Bhrigu the Brahman was appointed the Commissioner to decide the case. He first visited the abode of Brahma who did not take care of him. The Brahman was infuriated. He cursed as he did not worship the Brahman, henceforth, nonbody would worship Brahma. Then he went to Shiv. He was engaged in sexual intercourse with his wife. He too did not take notice of the Brahman. So the Brahman commanded that as he is always engaged in sex, he become a penis. So Shiv became penis with vagina around it. Then he went to Vishnu who was sleeping. Bhrigu the Brahman kicked him. He held of brahman's foot and asked if it has not been hurt. Brahman was pleased with his Brahman-reverence. He was thus declared supreme god.

It is simply a cock & bull story. If Shiv had turned into 'Penis' why the life of Shiv did not come to an end. How could Shiv exist after becoming a penis? In fact, Brahmans made him penis to provide sanction of religion to their own lustful misdeeds.

Their another book Ling Puran i.e. History of Penis tells another story. It says once Brahma and Vishnu were fighting over their supremacy. Suddenly a giant penis

appeared between them. Both agreed whosoever would find one end of the penis, would be Supreme God. Both travelled thousands of miles but neither could find the end. Ultimately, they worshipped that penis of Shiv and named him Mahadev i.e. Supreme God.

It appears to be a story told by sex-maniac quack who sell medicine to cure all sex-problems.

Just think over! Whom do we call a 'God'! It is universal acceptance that God is Father of all fathers. Then how can a 'Father' be a penis! How could daughters and sons worship penis of that Father. It is really a blot in the name of religion. A person who gets his penis worshipped a NEVER be a God.

If such a person is God, then where is a need for Satan? Even Satan does not think of doing so.

#### Deeds of Brahamanic Avtars

Now let us judge whether the deeds of Brahmanic Avtars conform to the declaration made in the Gita that whenever and wherever there is decay of religion or persecution of Saints, God incarnates to save them.

**1. Varah or Pig**: The Brahmanic God took birth as a pig, nothing surprising in it! As per Taitriya Sahinta (7.1.5.1) first there was water all over. Brahma became pig, entered the water and took out the Earth.

However, the writer of Varah Puran i.e. history of pig could not digest this story. He coined his own story. He wrote that Harinyskash lifted the earth and carried it to Patal Lok. (Patal Lok is the lowest inner part of the Earth). Vishnu became pig and took out the Earth.

Another Puran (History) writer says that once Brahma sneezed and Vishnu was shot off his nose. (Riddle 10).

First of all, whosoever coined these stories were idiots for the reasons:

- i) Water is integral part of the Earth. Soil, air and the water make the Earth. None can bring out one part from the others.
- ii) Pig has never been an aquatic or amphibian animal. It can not survive in water more than a minute or so.

Patal is integral part of the Earth itself. 'Carried Eath to Patal' is equal to saying 'carried his house to the kitchen'. Is it possible for anyone to lift his house ans place it in its kitchen? Only idiots can say so.